(ङ) गुजरात के विद्यमान तथा नये गैस क्षेत्रों से गैस की उपलब्धता को 1998-99 तक बढ़ाकर 10.05 एम एम एस सी एम डी करने की योजना है।

Augmenting the Central Road Fund

914. SHRI RAJUBHAI A. PARMAR:
SHRIMATI VEENA VERMA:

Will the Minister of SURFACE TRANSPORT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Governments of Madhya Pradesh, Gujarat and some other States have been persistent in their demand for implementing the 1988 resolution for upgrading and augmenting the Central Road Fund and enhancing the devolution for the States for this Fund for maintenance and development of roads;

(b) whether the matter was also pressed through the National Development Council, if so, what is the Central Government's response thereto; and

(c) what was the demand made by the State Governments and the amount sanctioned under Central Road Fund during 1992-93, 1993-94, 1994-95 and so far in the current year?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF SURFACE TRANSPORT (SHRI M. RAJASEKARA MURTHY): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Yes, Sir. The matter is under the consideration of the Government.

(c) The State Governments have been demanding for sanction of amounts as per the revised Resolution. However, the amounts are being sanctioned only as per the old Resolution. The amounts sanctioned out of CRF to the States during 1992-93, 1993-94 and 1994-95 are in the Statement. (*See* below) No amounts have been sanctioned so far during 1995-96.

Statement

The details of CRF sanctioned to States during 1992-93, 1993-94 and 1994-95

(Rs. in lakhs)

S.No.	Names of States	Amount of CRF sanctioned during		
		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95
1.	Andhra Pradesh	33.00	50.00	600.00
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	Nil	Nil	Nil
3.	Assam	60.00	40.00	42.00
4.	Bihar	100.00	40.00	166.00
5.	Chandigarh	Nil	Nil	Nil
6.	Delhi	12.00	100.00	835.00
7.	Goa	1.00	5.00	55.00
8.	Gujarat	70.00	80.00	239.00
9.	Haryana	39.00	35.00	250.00
10.	Himachal Pradesh	Nil	15.00	35.00
11.	Jammu & Kashmir	50.00	15.00	50.00
12.	Karnataka	80.00	50.00	288.00
13.	Kerala	20.00	55.00	104.00
14.	Madhya Pradesh	50.00	45.00	236.00
15.	Maharashtra	100.00	110.00	1100.00
16.	Manipur	Nil	10.00	Nil

S.No.	Names of States	Amount of CRF sanctioned during		
		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95
17.	Meghalaya	25.00	10.00	7.00
18.	Mizoram	35.00	Nil	11.00
19.	Nagaland	Nil	10.00	34.00
20.	Orissa	7.00	40.00	28.00
21.	Pondicherry	Nil	Nil	Nil
22.	Punjab	Nil	60.00	259.00
23.	Rajasthan	25.00	5.00	103.00
24.	Sikkim	Nil	20.00	8.00
25.	Tamil Nadu	50.00	80.00	505.00
26.	Tripura	11.00	5.00	1.00
27.	Uttar Pradesh	79.50	100.00	157.00
28.	West Bengal	40.00	20.00	56.00

US Suggestion to Reform Security Council

915. SHRI RAJUBHAI A. PARMAR:
SHRI SUSHILKUMAR SAMBHAJIRAO SHINDE:
SHRIMATI VEENA VERMA:

Will the Minister of EXTERNAL AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether the United States had suggested during the recent General Assembly Session a proposal to temporarily reform the UN Security Council by admitting two more developed countries, namely Japan and Germany, as permanent members;

(b) if so, what was Indian representative's reaction thereto; and

(c) whether any criteria have been adopted for inclusion of permanent members in the Security Council, if so, the details thereof, and if not, what steps have been taken by Government to ensure that suitable criteria are evolved in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI R.L. BHATIA): (a) The United States has supported Germany and Japan for inclusion in permanent members' category of the UN Security Council.

(b) India has stated that any expansion of the Council must be comprehensive in nature, include representatives from developing countries and should be based on objective criteria.

(c) No criteria have as yet been agreed for inclusion of permanent members into the Security Council. Our consistent position on the need for evolving agreed criteria is being projected in the Open Ended Working Group of the UN General Assembly, which is discussing the matter.

Amateur Radio Service to Schools and Colleges under MPLADS

916. DR. SHRIKANT RAMCHANDRA JICHKAR: Will the Minister of COMMUNICATIONS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that MPs can now give Amateur Radio Sets (HAM) to schools and colleges from MPLADS;

(b) if so, whether the licencing procedure for the schools to which this facility is given will be simplified;

(c) whether Government would